Pupil premium strategy statement (2024 review)

This statement details our school's use of pupil premium (and recovery premium) funding to help improve the attainment of our disadvantaged pupils.

It outlines our pupil premium strategy, how we intend to spend the funding in this academic year and the effect that last year's spending of pupil premium had within our school.

School overview

Detail	Data
School name	Spixworth Infant School
Number of pupils in school	114 (Sept 22) 120 (Sept 23) 121 (Sept 24)
Proportion (%) of pupil premium eligible pupils	10.5% (12) (Sept 22) 8.33% (10) (Sept 23) 6.61% (8) (Sept 24)
Academic year/years that our current pupil premium strategy plan covers (3 year plans are recommended)	2022-2025
Date this statement was published	September 2022
Date of first review	September 2023
Date of next review	September 2024
Statement authorised by	H. Jordan Executive Headteacher
Pupil premium lead	H. Payne Head of School (WV) Disadvantaged Lead
Governor / Trustee lead	H. Collison-Smith

Funding overview

2022 Detail	Amount
Pupil premium funding allocation this academic year	£16620
Recovery premium funding allocation this academic year	£1450
Pupil premium funding carried forward from previous years (enter £0 if not applicable)	£11780
Total budget for this academic year If your school is an academy in a trust that pools this funding, state the amount available to your school this academic year	£29,850
2023 Detail	Amount
Pupil premium funding allocation this academic year	£18915
Recovery premium funding allocation this academic year	£2175
Pupil premium funding carried forward from previous years (enter £0 if not applicable)	£12276
Total budget for this academic year If your school is an academy in a trust that pools this funding, state the amount available to your school this academic year	£33366
2024 Detail	Amount
Pupil premium funding allocation this academic year	£17,104
Recovery premium funding allocation this academic year	£500
Pupil premium funding carried forward from previous years (enter £0 if not applicable)	£1,798
Total budget for this academic year If your school is an academy in a trust that pools this funding, state the amount available to your school this academic year	£19,402

Part A: Pupil premium strategy plan

Statement of intent

Our intention is that all pupils, irrespective of their background or the challenges they face, make good progress and achieve high attainment across all subject areas. The focus of our pupil premium strategy is to support disadvantaged pupils to achieve that goal, including progress for those who are already attaining highly.

We will consider the challenges faced by vulnerable pupils, such as those who have a social worker and young carers. The activity we have outlined in this statement is also intended to support their needs, regardless of whether they are disadvantaged or not.

High-quality teaching is at the heart of our approach, with a focus on areas in which disadvantaged pupils require the most support. This is proven to have the greatest impact on closing the disadvantage attainment gap and at the same time will benefit the non-disadvantaged pupils in our school. Implicit in the intended outcomes detailed below, is the intention that non-disadvantaged pupils' attainment will be sustained and improved alongside progress for their disadvantaged peers.

Our strategy is also integral to wider school plans for education recovery, notably in its targeted support through the National Tutoring Programme for pupils whose education has been worst affected, including non-disadvantaged pupils.

Our approach will be responsive to common challenges and individual needs, rooted in robust diagnostic assessment, not assumptions about the impact of disadvantage. The approaches we have adopted complement each other to help pupils excel. To ensure they are effective we will:

- ensure disadvantaged pupils are challenged in the work that they're set
- act early to intervene at the point need is identified
- adopt a whole school approach in which all staff take responsibility for disadvantaged pupils' outcomes and raise expectations of what they can achieve

Challenges

This details the key challenges to achievement that we have identified among our disadvantaged pupils.

Challenge	Detail of challenge
number	

23% of pupils are below expected in Reading. Of that group 47% pupils have a primary barrier of vocabulary. 12% pupils have a primary barrier of comprehension and 41% decoding. Being below the expected standard in Reading means children are unable to access written information at the expected standard in all other subjects

On entry to Reception class in the last 3 years, between 83-100% of our disadvantaged pupils arrive below age-related expectations in Speaking compared to 31-38% of other pupils.

At Year 1 Phonic Screening (2022) 20% of our disadvantaged pupils did not meet the expected standard compared to 16% of other pupils.

At Key Stage 1 (2022) 55% of our disadvantaged pupils did not meet the expected standard in Reading compared to 27% of other pupils.

20% of pupils do not read regularly outside of school in KS1. Of these, 33% are disadvantaged.

2023 review:

100% of disadvantaged children met the expected standard in Y1 Phonics, an improvement of 20% (This data is based on a very small group)

33% of disadvantaged children did not meet the expected standard in Reading, an improvement of 22%

2024 review:

The very small number of disadvantaged children in Y1 this year did not meet the expected standard in Phonics. Their progress was however, strong.

2 23% of pupils are below expected in Maths. Of that group 100% pupils have a primary barrier of number. Attainment of disadvantaged pupils is lower than their non-disadvantaged peers.

At Key Stage 1 (2022) 50% of our disadvantaged pupils did not meet the expected standard in Maths compared to 33% of other pupils.

2023 review:

33% of disadvantaged children did not meet the expected standard in Maths, an improvement of 17%

2024 review:

50% of disadvantaged children met the expected standard in Maths. 50% made significant progress toward the expected standard in relation to additional needs.

3	30% of pupils are below expected in Writing. Of that group 68% pupils have a primary barrier of sentence construction. 32% have a primary barrier of stamina. Attainment of disadvantaged pupils is lower than their non-disadvantaged peers. At Key Stage 1 (2022) 60% of our disadvantaged pupils did not meet the expected standard in Writing compared to 36% of other pupils. 2023 review: 66% of disadvantaged children did not meet the expected standard in Writing, a decrease of 6% 2024 review: 25% of disadvantaged children met the expected standard in Writing. A further 50% made significant progress toward the expected standard in relation to additional needs.
4	Our assessments (including wellbeing survey), observations and discussions with pupils and families have identified social and emotional issues for many pupils. Poor pupil wellbeing and mental health and a lack of enrichment opportunities can be linked to the pandemic but have extended beyond this. Families in need of support, including those in emergency accommodation (within the village) remains high. These challenges disproportionally affect disadvantaged pupils, including their attainment. Teacher referrals for support have remained high since the pandemic. 16 pupils (8 of whom are disadvantaged) currently require additional support with social and emotional needs, with 5 (2 of whom are disadvantaged) receiving regular, targeted interventions. 2023 review: 22 pupils (4 disadvantaged) require additional support 11 pupils (3 disadvantaged) receiving intervention 2024 review: 31 pupils (4 disadvantaged) require additional support 20 pupils (5 disadvantaged) receiving intervention
5	Our attendance data over the last year indicates that attendance among disadvantaged pupils was 4.68% lower than for non-disadvantaged pupils. 36.4% of disadvantaged pupils have been 'persistently absent' compared to 15.3% of their peers during that period. Our assessments

and observations indicate that absenteeism is negatively impacting disadvantaged pupils' progress.
2023 review: 35.3% of disadvantaged pupils have been 'persistently absent', an improvement of 1.1%
2024 review: 20% of disadvantaged pupils have been 'persistently absent', an improvement of 15.3%

Intended outcomes

This explains the outcomes we are aiming for **by the end of our current strategy plan**, and how we will measure whether they have been achieved.

Intended outcome	Success criteria
Improved Reading attainment among disadvantaged pupils	Triangulated information demonstrates significantly improved vocabulary Phonic Screening outcomes by 2024-25 show that more than 95% of disadvantaged pupils achieve the expected standard KS1 Reading outcomes by 2024-25 show that more than 85% of disadvantaged pupils achieve the expected standard
Improved Maths attainment among disadvantaged pupils	KS1 Maths outcomes by 2024-25 show that more than 85% of disadvantaged pupils achieve the expected standard
Improved Writing attainment among disadvantaged pupils	KS1 Writing outcomes by 2024-25 show that more than 80% of disadvantaged pupils achieve the expected standard
To achieve and sustain improved wellbeing for all pupils in our school, particularly our disadvantaged pupils.	Sustained high levels of wellbeing from 2024/25 demonstrated by: • qualitative data from pupil voice, pupil and parent surveys, FSA feedback and teacher observations • a significant reduction in the quantity and severity of pastoral issues requiring interventions

	 a significant increase in participation in enrichment activities, particularly among disadvantaged pupils
To achieve and sustain improved attendance for all pupils in our school,	Sustained high attendance from 2024/25 demonstrated by:
particularly our disadvantaged pupils.	 the overall absence rate for all pupils being no more than 4%, and the attendance gap between disadvantaged pupils and their non-disadvantaged peers being reduced by 2%. the percentage of all pupils who are persistently absent being below 6% and the figure among disadvantaged pupils being no more than 3% lower than their peers.

Activity in this academic year

This details how we intend to spend our pupil premium (and recovery premium funding) this academic year (2024-25) to address the challenges listed above.

Teaching (for example, CPD, recruitment and retention)

Budgeted cost: £5541

Activity	Evidence that supports this approach	Challenge number(s) addressed
Facilitate a senior member of staff to lead CPD of teachers, enable peer support and track progress of pupils and so enable professional conversations that support and challenge attainment (£3901)	Staff wellbeing, time for reflective, guided evaluation and peer learning all contribute to supporting effective teaching and learning. Feedback from peer assessment can reinforce learning and correct misunderstanding Peer tutoring EEF (educationendowmentfoundation.org.uk) When individualised instruction employs digital technology, the EEF find the positive effect on learners of this approach to be higher due to the more immediate feedback that is enabled Individualised instruction EEF (educationendowmentfoundation.org.uk) Article 3 of the UN convention on the rights of the child states that the best interests of the child must be a top priority in all decisions and actions that affect children	1, 2, 3
TA training: Supporting TA Expertise with the aim of ensuring every interaction is impactful (£500)	There is a strong evidence base that suggests knowledgeable interactions between classroom staff and children have high impacts on learning: Teaching Assistant Interventions EEF (educationendowmentfoundation.org.uk) For TAs to lead the most effective interventions, they must be well-trained. General classroom support can be less	1, 2, 3

	impactful, yet where TAs are responsible for specific interactions including behaviour and feedback they should be as impactful as teachers: Behaviour interventions EEF (educationendowmentfoundation.org.uk) Feedback EEF (educationendowmentfoundation.org.uk) Article 28 of the UN convention on the rights of the child states that children have a right to education.	
Embed Spoken Language policy for all staff and training for new staff in school Phonics and Writing approaches, including access to VNET Professional Community (3 day supply £570)	There is a strong evidence base that suggests oral language interventions, including dialogic activities such as high-quality classroom discussion, are inexpensive to implement with high impacts on reading: Oral language interventions Toolkit Strand Education Endowment Foundation EEF	1, 3
	Phonics approaches have a strong evidence base that indicates a positive impact on the accuracy of word reading (though not necessarily comprehension), particularly for disadvantaged pupils: Phonics Toolkit Strand Education Endowment Foundation EEF Article 28 of the UN convention on the rights of the child states that children have a right to education. Article 30 states children have the right to learn the and use the language of their families	
Enhancement of our maths teaching and curriculum planning in line with DfE and EEF guidance.	The DfE non-statutory guidance has been produced in conjunction with the National Centre for Excellence in the Teaching of Mathematics, drawing on evidence-based approaches:	2
We will fund teacher release time to embed key elements of guidance in school and to access Maths Hub resources and CPD (including VNET	Maths guidance KS 1 and 2.pdf (publishing.service.gov.uk) The EEF guidance is based on a range of the best available evidence: Improving Mathematics in Key Stages 2 and 3	

Professional	Article 28 of the UN convention on the	
Community). (3 day	rights of the child states that children	
supply £570)	have a right to education.	

Targeted academic support (for example, tutoring, one-to-one support structured interventions)

Budgeted cost: £1014

Activity	Evidence that supports this approach	Challenge number(s) addressed
Facilitate a TA to lead afternoon tutoring support in phonics, Reading, Writing and Maths targeted at disadvantaged pupils who require further academic support. (2h/w for 2.5 terms £1014)	There is extensive evidence that phonic interventions and reading comprehension interventions have high impact: Phonics EEF (educationendowmentfoundation.org.uk) Reading comprehension strategies EEF (educationendowmentfoundation.org.uk) Tuition targeted at specific needs and knowledge gaps can be an effective method to support low attaining pupils or those falling behind, both one-to-one: One to one tuition EEF (educationendowmentfoundation.org.uk) And in small groups: Small group tuition Toolkit Strand Education Endowment Foundation EEF Article 28 of the UN convention on the rights of the child states that children have a right to education. Article 29 states that education should develop each child's abilities	1, 2, 3

Wider strategies (for example, related to attendance, behaviour, wellbeing)

Budgeted cost: £11890

Activity	Evidence that supports this approach	Challenge number(s) addressed
Facilitate the FSA and pastoral TA to	There is extensive evidence associating childhood social and	4, 5

provide responsive interventions to pupils and parents and so meet needs in relation to wellbeing, attendance and behaviour (FSA contribution £4916 and TA £4304 ELSA £480)	emotional skills with improved outcomes at school and in later life (e.g., improved academic performance, attitudes, behaviour and relationships with peers): EEF Social and Emotional Learning.pdf(educationendowmentfoundation.org.uk) Article 28 of the UN convention on the rights of the child states that children have a right to education. Article 29 states that education should develop each child's personality and talents to the full	
Enhancement of the social and emotional curriculum through subsidising enrichments including clubs, trips and activities (£500).	Outdoor adventure learning can have a positive impact on academic learning and non-cognitive outcomes such as self-confidence – the impact is greater for more vulnerable students Outdoor adventure learning EEF (educationendowmentfoundation.org. uk) Social and emotional interventions that develop a positive school ethos and support greater engagement in learning also evidence positive impact for learners in academic and non-academic skills: Social and emotional learning EEF (educationendowmentfoundation.org. uk) Article 29 of the UN convention on the rights of the child states that education should develop each child's personality and talents to the full. Article 31 states children have the right to relax, play and join in a wide range of leisure activities	4, 5
Purchasing ingredients for Cook and Connect - small	Developing effective parental engagement for all parents to improve their children's attainment	1, 2, 3, 4, 5

group cooking followed by family sharing and chatting sessions to engage with families, creating strong links between home and school (£120)	has strong impact. There is evidence to suggest that parental engagement is consistently associated with pupils' success and support for parents with their first child will benefit their siblings. Parental engagement EEF (educationendowmentfoundation.org .uk) Article 3 of the UN convention on the rights of the child states that all organisations concerned with children should work towards what is best for each child. Article 27 states that children have the right to a standard of living that is good enough to meet their physical and mental needs	
Embedding principles of good practice set out in the DfE's Improving School Attendance advice. This will involve training and release time for key staff to develop and implement new procedures (3 day supply £570)	The DfE guidance has been informed by engagement with schools that have significantly reduced levels of absence and persistent absence. Article 28 of the UN convention on the rights of the child states that children have a right to education	5
Contingency fund for acute issues. (£1000)	Based on our experiences and those of similar schools to ours, we have identified a need to set a small amount of funding aside to respond quickly to needs that have not yet been identified.	

Total budgeted cost: £18,445

Part B: Review of outcomes in the previous academic year

Pupil premium strategy outcomes

Outcomes for disadvantaged pupils 2023-2024

Pupil Group	Pupils	National	School
Year 1 Phonic Scree	ning		
All pupils	47	80.3%	71.7%
Disadvantaged	3	68.5%	0%
lon-disadvantaged	44	83.5%	76.7%
(S1 Reading – Expε	ected Standard	I	
All pupils	33	n/a	72.7%
Disadvantaged	4	n/a	50%
Non-disadvantaged	29	n/a	75.9%
S1 Maths – Expect	ed Standard		
All pupils	33	n/a	75.8%
Disadvantaged	4	n/a	50%
Non-disadvantaged	29	n/a	79.3%
(S1 Writing – Expec	ted Standard		
All pupils	33	n/a	66.6%
Disadvantaged	4	n/a	25%
Non-disadvantaged	29	n/a	72.4%

Social, Emotional an	d Mental Health	า		
Pupils receiving support		Pupils receiving targe	Pupils receiving targeted intervention	
All pupils	124	All pupils	124	
All pupils supported	31	All pupils supported	20	
Disadvantaged	4	Disadvantaged	5	
Attendance				
Total attendance		Persistent absence	Persistent absence	
All pupils	95.1%	All pupils	6%	
Non-disadvantaged	95%	Non-disadvantaged	6.4%	
Disadvantaged	95.4%	Disadvantaged	20%	

Externally provided programmes

Please include the names of any non-DfE programmes that you used your pupil premium (or recovery premium) to fund in the previous academic year.

Programme	Provider
Drawing and Talking	FSA + TA
Working on Worries	FSA
ELSA	FSA + TA
Talk Boost	TA
WellComm	TA